

International Journal of Modern Physics D
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

Generalized second law and entropy bound for a Reissner- Nordström black hole

P. I. Kuriakose

*Department of Physics, Cochin University of Science and Technology,
 Kochi ,682022, India.
 sini@cusat.ac.in*

V C Kuriakose

*Department of Physics, Cochin University of Science and Technology,
 Kochi 682022, India.
 vck@cusat.ac.in*

Received Day Month Year

Revised Day Month Year

Communicated by Managing Editor

It has been conjectured that black hole interacting with its surroundings will obey the Generalized Second Law (*GSL*) of thermodynamics. Conservation of *GSL* is due to the fully thermal nature of Hawking radiation and an upper bound on entropy. We study these aspects for a Reissner-Nordström (*RN*) black hole and conjecture that *GSL* may be conserved if the equation of state of radiation near the horizon is modified. An upper bound on S/E , similar to the Bekenstein form evolves in the calculation.

Keywords: Black hole; Generalized second law; State equation of radiation; Entropy bound.

1. Introduction

The studies on black holes during the last 30 years have brought to light strong hints of a deep and fundamental relationship among gravitation, thermodynamics and quantum theory. At purely classical level, the cornerstone of this relationship is the black hole thermodynamics, where it appears that laws of black hole mechanics are, in fact, simply the ordinary laws of thermodynamics. Classically, black holes are perfect absorbers that do not emit anything; their physical temperature is zero. However, in quantum theory, black holes emit radiation known as Hawking radiation (*HR*) with a perfect thermal spectrum. This allows a consistent interpretation of the laws of black hole mechanics as physically corresponding to the ordinary laws of thermodynamics. There is a huge increase, of the order of 10^{20} , in entropy of a star during its gravitational collapse to become a black hole. It is presumably associated with the gravitational microstates of the black hole through the number of ways in which a black hole of a given mass 'm' and area 'A' can be formed.

The area increase law of black hole mechanics bears a resemblance to the second law of thermodynamics, that both laws assert that a certain quantity has the property of ever increasing with time. It might seem that this resemblance is a very superficial one, since the area has a geometrical origin whereas second law of thermodynamics have a statistical origin. Nevertheless, this resemblance together with the idea that information is irretrievably lost when a body falls into a black hole led Bekenstein to propose that a suitable multiple of the area of the event horizon of a black hole should be interpreted as its entropy and that a generalized second law (*GSL*) should hold: The sum of the ordinary entropy of matter outside a black hole plus a suitable multiple of the area of a black hole never decreases^{1,2}. The *GSL* directly links the laws of black hole mechanics to the ordinary laws of thermodynamics.

It has been proposed that black hole entropy can be due to quantum entanglement between the interior and exterior states of the black hole and also that entanglement entropy S is equal to quantum corrections of Bekenstein - Hawking entropy S_{BH} ^{3,4,5,6}. In these arguments, the black hole entropy is related to the entanglement entropy in the *QFT* in the same spacetime^{7,8}. Even now calculations of black hole entropy, generalized second law and the conditions to conserve *GSL* are live subjects in black hole physics.

The analogy between laws of black hole mechanics and classical statistical mechanics will break down once the *GSL* is violated. Unruh and Wald^{9,10} analyzed this situation by performing a gedankenexperiment. They considered a thought experiment of lowering a box containing matter toward a black hole taking into account of the effect of “acceleration radiation” (the effective radiation a stationary observer near a black hole would observe)¹¹. The resulting change in entropy of the black hole ΔS_{bh} in the round trip process was shown to be greater than S_s , the original entropy of the contents of the box. The existence of Hawking radiation¹² preserves the validity of the *GSL* because the thermal radiation is the state of matter and radiation which maximizes entropy at fixed energy and volume^{9,10}.

In order to make valid the *GSL*, Bekenstein^{13,14} proposed a conjecture: There exists a universal upper bound on entropy S for an arbitrary system of effective radius R and energy E , which can be expressed in Planck units ($c = \hbar = G = k_B = 1$), as $\frac{S}{E} \leq 2\pi R$.

The concept that the thermal pressure of Hawking radiation assumes infinite value at the horizon is unwarranted, as no physical pathology is believed to exist at the horizon. To overcome this problem, many have^{15,16,17,18} proposed stress-energy calculations and obtained a finite value for the temporal and radial components of stress-energy tensor at the horizon. The information loss paradox in a black hole can be resolved by treating the Hawking radiation as not exactly thermal¹⁹, and this concept will be used in our calculations. This implies that the pressure of Hawking radiation will have only a finite value at the horizon and hence the box containing matter can be brought to the horizon. The state equations of radiation

in general are given as

$$\rho = \alpha T_r^4; s = \frac{4}{3} \alpha T_r^3, \quad (1)$$

where, ρ the energy density, s the entropy density, T_r the temperature of radiation and α a constant. The expression for the state equations of radiation when applied in the calculations of gedankenexperiment, it is obtained that the *GSL* is violated. As the sanctity of *GSL* cannot be questioned, the state equations of radiation (Eq. (1)) need to be modified.

The knowledge that the Hawking radiation near the horizon is not fully thermal, leads us to the conjecture that the gravitational field near the horizon can influence the equation of state of radiation. The state equations of radiation near the Schwarzschild black hole were earlier studied²⁰. The motivation for the present work is to know whether the state equations of radiation for *RN* black hole are different from the general expression given in Eq. (1). The scheme of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we describe the violation of *GSL* where ordinary equation of radiation is used. In Sec. 3, new equations of radiation and the upper bound are given. In Sec. 4, we give the conclusion.

2. Violation of Generalized Second Law?

2.1. The gedankenexperiment

A *RN* black hole with mass M and charge Q is situated inside a spherical cavity with radius r_0 , negligible mass and perfect reflectability. Let us imagine that the black hole and Hawking radiation be in thermal equilibrium in the cavity. We fill a rectangular box of volume aA (a the height and A the cross section area of the box) with thermal radiation of temperature T_r at infinity. Now lower the box adiabatically through a hole on the cavity to the horizon, release the contents, then slowly raise the box back to infinity. In general $T_r \gg T_{bh}$. The increase in the energy of the black hole in the above process is^{9,10}

$$\varepsilon = E_r - W_\infty, \quad (2)$$

where, W_∞ is the work delivered to infinity and E_r is the rest energy of radiation in the box. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &= \alpha a A T_r^4 \\ W_\infty &= W_1 - W_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where, W_1 is the work delivered to infinity on account of the weight of box and radiation and W_2 the work delivered to the black hole on account of the buoyancy force of Hawking radiation. The entropy of radiation inside the box is

$$S_r = \frac{4}{3} \alpha a A T_r^3. \quad (4)$$

Since the process of lowering and raising the box is adiabatic, S_r remains constant.

4 *P. I. Kuriakose, V. C. Kuriakose*

2.2. Calculation of W_1

This is the energy delivered to infinity as the box is dropped on to the horizon under gravity and may be given as

$$W_1 = E_r - E;$$

$$E = A \int_l^{l+a} \rho(x) \chi(x) dx. \quad (5)$$

On reaching the horizon, the bottom lid of the box is opened so that the radiation in the box will be in contact with the Hawking radiation. Then, E is the energy of the radiation inside the box after it has attained the thermal equilibrium with the Hawking radiation near the horizon, l is the distance from the bottom of box to the horizon, $\chi(x)$ is the red shift factor and x is the proper distance from the horizon to the box. Under thermodynamic equilibrium between acceleration radiation and radiation inside the box at a height l , the temperature of radiation becomes $T_0(l)$. Then we have

$$\rho(x) = \alpha T_{loc}^4$$

$$\chi(x) = [1 - \frac{2M}{r(x)} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2(x)}]^{1/2}, \quad (6)$$

where T_{loc} is the temperature of acceleration radiation locally measured. T_{loc} is related to the equilibrium temperature $T_0(l)$ as ²¹

$$T_{loc} = \frac{T_0(l)}{\chi(x)}. \quad (7)$$

On the horizon ($l = 0$), $T_0(l = 0) = T_{bh}$. For $l \ll r_H$ and writing $r = r_H + x$, $\chi(x)$ may be modified as

$$\chi(x) = \frac{2^{1/2}(M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}}{r_H} x^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

On substituting Eqs. (6, 8) in the expression for E in Eq. (5), we get

$$E = \frac{\alpha A r_H^3}{\sqrt{2l}} \frac{T_0^4}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{3/4}}. \quad (9)$$

Similarly

$$S_r = \frac{4}{3} \alpha T_0^3 A \int_l^{l+a} \frac{dx}{\chi(x)^3}$$

$$= \frac{4\alpha A r_H^3}{3\sqrt{2l}} \frac{T_0^3}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{3/4}}. \quad (10)$$

But, in an adiabatic process entropy never changes. So

$$S_r = \frac{4}{3} \alpha a A T_r^3. \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11)

$$T_0 = (2l)^{1/6} a^{1/3} (M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4} \frac{T_r}{r_H}. \quad (12)$$

In Eq. (9)

$$E = (2l)^{1/6} \alpha a^{4/3} A (M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4} \frac{T_r^4}{r_H}. \quad (13)$$

But, $E_r = aA\alpha T_r^4$. So

$$E = \frac{(2l)^{1/6} a^{1/3} (M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}}{r_H} E_r. \quad (14)$$

Now work done to infinity on account of gravity is

$$W_1 = E_r - E = E_r - \frac{(2l)^{1/6} a^{1/3} (M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}}{r_H} E_r. \quad (15)$$

2.3. Calculation of W_2

The work done on the black hole on account of the buoyancy of Hawking radiation is^{9,10}

$$W_2 = A \int_l^{l+a} P(x) \chi(x) dx, \quad (16)$$

where, $P(x)$ is pressure of Hawking radiation. If the Hawking radiation is fully thermal, then

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{3} \alpha T_{loc}^4 = \frac{1}{3} \alpha \frac{T_{bh}^4}{\chi^4(x)}. \quad (17)$$

So, at the horizon, $P(x) \rightarrow \infty$. This makes $W_2 \rightarrow \infty$, which means the box cannot be dropped on to the horizon. In classical gravity, the geometry is treated classically while matter fields are quantized.

In examining the semiclassical perturbations of the RN metric caused by the vacuum energy of the quantized scalar fields, we can treat the background electromagnetic field as a classical field. The right hand side of the semiclassical Einstein equations will then contain both classical and quantum stress-energy contributions

$$G_\nu^\mu = 8\pi [T_\nu^\mu + \langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle]. \quad (18)$$

T_ν^μ represents the classical stress-energy tensor of scalar field and $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle$ is its quantum counterpart. Now consider the situation where the black hole is in thermal equilibrium with the quantized field, so that the perturbed geometry continues to be static and spherically symmetric. To first order in $\epsilon = \frac{\hbar}{M^2}$ the general form of the perturbed RN metric may be written as:

$$ds^2 = -[1 + 2\epsilon\rho(r)]f dt^2 + f^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (19)$$

where, $f = (1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2})$ and $[1 + 2\epsilon\rho(r)]$ represents the perturbation due to the scalar field. In order to save the black hole from extinction due to evaporation, the black hole is assumed to be placed inside a massless reflecting spherical shell. Inside the shell the quantum field and Hawking radiation are in thermodynamic

6 *P. I. Kuriakose, V. C. Kuriakose*

equilibrium and hence the black hole mass function $m(r)$ contains classical mass and the quantum first-order perturbation. So

$$m(r) = M[1 + \epsilon\mu(r)]. \quad (20)$$

This equation explains the back reaction. The metric perturbation functions, $\rho(r)$ and $\mu(r)$ are determined by solving the semiclassical Einstein's equation expanded to first order in ϵ

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mu}{dr} &= -\frac{4\pi r^2}{M\epsilon} \langle T_t^t \rangle, \\ \frac{d\rho}{dr} &= \frac{4\pi r}{\epsilon} f^{-1}[\langle T_r^r \rangle - \langle T_t^t \rangle]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The right hand side of Eq. (21) is divergent on the horizon unless $[\langle T_r^r \rangle - \langle T_t^t \rangle]$ vanishes there. Not only that both $\langle T_r^r \rangle$ and $\langle T_t^t \rangle$ must be finite at the horizon. The expectation value of stress-energy tensor of a quantized massive scalar field in the RN spacetime is given as ²²

$$\langle T_r^r \rangle|_{r_h} = \langle T_t^t \rangle|_{r_h} \cong \frac{6\pi^3\epsilon}{105m^2(M^2 - Q^2)^2} T_{bh}^4, \quad (22)$$

where, m the mass of scalar field. Hence, the most probable form of the Hawking pressure at the horizon is given by Eq. (22) multiplied by α . By using Eq. (16), we now get

$$W_2 = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3\epsilon a^{3/2}A}{105m^2r_H} \frac{\alpha T_{bh}^4}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{7/4}}. \quad (23)$$

The increase in the energy of the black hole in the gedankenexperiment is obtained from Eqs. (2,3,15,23)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= E_r - W_\infty = E_r - W_1 + W_2, \\ \varepsilon &= \frac{(2l)^{1/6}a^{1/3}(M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}}{r_H} E_r \\ &\quad + \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3\epsilon a^{3/2}A}{105m^2r_H} \frac{\alpha T_{bh}^4}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{7/4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Near the horizon, $l \simeq 0$, hence

$$\varepsilon = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3\epsilon a^{3/2}A}{105m^2r_H} \frac{\alpha T_{bh}^4}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{7/4}}. \quad (25)$$

The increase of the black hole entropy may be given as,

$$\varepsilon/T_{bh} = \Delta S_{bh} = \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3\epsilon a^{3/2}A}{105m^2r_H} \frac{\alpha T_{bh}^3}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{7/4}}. \quad (26)$$

Since, $T_{bh} \ll T_r$ makes, $\Delta S_{bh} \ll S_r$. This is violation of GSL . In the above calculations, we took, $\rho = \alpha T_{loc}^4$ and $s = \frac{4}{3}\alpha T_{loc}^3$, which are not true, near the horizon. These equations don't prevail, unless the Hawking radiation is fully thermal.

3. State equations of radiation

As Hawking radiation is not fully thermal, the box can be brought to the horizon, where gravity is very strong. This situation, will change the form of equations of radiation. By the first law of thermodynamics, we have

$$d(\rho V) = T_{loc} ds - p dV. \quad (27)$$

This yields ⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \rho + p &= s T_{loc}, \\ dp &= s dT_{loc}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

For a static spacetime, the hydrostatic equilibrium equation, derived from $\nabla^a T_{ab} = 0$, for a perfect-fluid stress-energy tensor ⁹ is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a p &= (\rho + p) \left[\frac{\zeta^b}{\chi} \right] \nabla_b \left[\frac{\zeta_a}{\chi} \right] \\ &= -(\rho + p) \frac{1}{\chi} \nabla_a \chi, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where, ζ^a is a static killing vector field. Since the Hawking radiation satisfies the hydrostatic equilibrium Eq. (29), we have

$$\frac{d[\chi(x)p]}{dx} = -\rho(x) \frac{d\chi(x)}{dx}. \quad (30)$$

In the flat space situation, the relation connecting ρ and s is given as

$$s_r = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_r} \rho_r. \quad (31)$$

The term $\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_r}$ is the proportionality term connecting ρ and s , which can be expressed as $C(\infty)$. This term is not a constant, but a parameter depends on the distance from horizon and may be expressed as $C(l)$, where l is the distance from the bottom of the box to the horizon. In the spacetime of black hole, red shift factor also must be taken into account. Therefore, we may propose that ²⁰

$$s = C(l) \rho(x) \chi(x). \quad (32)$$

This relation will converge to the flat space situation when there is no gravity. Substituting Eq. (32) in Eq. (28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x) + p &= C(l) \rho(x) \chi(x) T_{loc} = C(l) \rho(x) T_0; \\ p &= \rho(x) [C(l) T_0 - 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From (30) and (33), we get the expressions of radiation in the context of RN black hole as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x) &= \rho_0 \chi^{\frac{-C T_0}{(C T_0 - 1)}} \\ s(x) &= C(l) \rho_0 \chi^{\frac{-1}{(C T_0 - 1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Eq. (34) represents the modified state equations of radiation and are more realistic in explaining the physical situation near the horizon. ρ_0 is the energy density in the asymptotic limit and in the asymptotic limit, $\chi(\infty) = 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\infty) &= \rho_0 \\ s(\infty) &= C(\infty)\rho_0 = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_r} \rho_0.\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

Eq. (34) converges to flat spacetime equations (Eq. (1)), as $\chi(\infty) \rightarrow 1$. The state equation of radiation in the context of Schwarzschild black hole had been utilized in calculating the entropy of self-gravitating radiation systems²³. As we approach the horizon, $\chi \rightarrow 0$, hence the energy density increases but never become infinity because of the thickness of the box. From Eq. (34) and $S_r = \frac{4}{3}\alpha T_r^3 a A$, it can be shown that

$$C(l)\chi^{\frac{-1}{(CT_0-1)}} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_r}.\quad (36)$$

The *R.H.S* of Eq. (36) is a constant. As $l \rightarrow 0$, both χ and $\frac{1}{CT_0-1} \rightarrow 0$. $C(l)$ increases as we approach the horizon and on the horizon, $C(l \rightarrow 0) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_{bh}}$.

3.1. generalized second law

In calculating the entropy change of black hole, we have earlier considered the flat spacetime equations of radiation. Now we will evaluate W_1 with the new equation of radiation. We have

$$\begin{aligned}W_1 &= E_r - E; \\ E &= A \int_l^{l+a} \rho(x) dx \\ &= A\rho_0 \int_l^{l+a} \chi^{-\xi} dx,\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

where, $\xi = \frac{CT_0}{(CT_0-1)}$. By substituting Eq. (8) and Eq. (34) in Eq. (37), we get

$$E = \frac{1}{(2-\xi)} \frac{2aA\rho_0}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{\xi/4}} [r_H/\sqrt{a}]^\xi.\quad (38)$$

The entropy may be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}S_r &= A \int_l^{l+a} s dx = A \int_l^{l+a} C(l)\rho(x)\chi(x) dx \\ &= AC(l)\rho_0 \int_l^{l+a} \chi^{1-\xi} dx.\end{aligned}\quad (39)$$

Eq. (39) is evaluated using Eq. (8) near the horizon as ($l \ll r_H$)

$$S_r = \frac{1}{(3-\xi)} \frac{2aAC(l)\rho_0}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{\frac{\xi-1}{4}}} [r_H/\sqrt{a}]^{\xi-1}.\quad (40)$$

(Generalized second law and entropy bound for a Reissner- Nordström black hole) 9

But entropy can also be written as, $S_r = \frac{4}{3}aA\alpha T_r^3$. Equating this equation with Eq. (40) and evaluating for ρ_0 , we get

$$\rho_0 = \frac{(3 - \xi)[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{\frac{\xi-1}{4}}}{\frac{3}{2}C(l)(\frac{r_H}{\sqrt{a}})^{\xi-1}}\alpha T_r^3. \quad (41)$$

In the asymptotic limit, $C(\infty) = \frac{4}{3}\frac{1}{T_r}$. We can calculate the asymptotic value of energy density ρ_0 by using the relation, $\xi(\infty) = \frac{C(\infty)T_0}{C(\infty)T_0-1} \simeq 0$, considering the fact that, $T_r \gg T_0$. Substituting $C(\infty)$ and $\xi(\infty)$ in Eq. (41), we get

$$\rho_0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{r_H/\sqrt{a}}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/4}} \alpha T_r^4. \quad (42)$$

But $\frac{r_H/\sqrt{a}}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/4}}$ is a dimensionless constant and it may be absorbed in $\frac{3}{2}$. Now substitute ρ_0 in Eq. (38)

$$E = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha T_r^4}{(2 - \xi)} \frac{2aA}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{(\xi+1)/4}} [r_H/\sqrt{a}]^{\xi+1}. \quad (43)$$

As $l \rightarrow 0$, $\xi(l \rightarrow 0) = \frac{4/(3T_{bh})T_0}{(4/(3T_{bh})T_0-1)} \simeq 1$. Energy of radiation near the horizon is obtained from Eq. (43) as

$$E = 3 \frac{[r_H/\sqrt{a}]^2}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/2}} aA\alpha T_r^4. \quad (44)$$

The term $\frac{[r_H/\sqrt{a}]^2}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/2}}$ is a dimensionless constant. Had we taken the asymptotic expressions in calculating the energy of radiation near the horizon, the value would have been approximately zero. Now in eq. (24)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = 3 \frac{[r_H/\sqrt{a}]^2}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/2}} aA\alpha T_r^4 + \\ \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3\epsilon a^{3/2}A}{105m^2r_H} \frac{T_{bh}^4}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{7/4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

The entropy change of the black hole

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_{bh} = 3 \frac{[r_H/\sqrt{a}]^2}{[4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/2}} \frac{aA\alpha T_r^4}{T_{bh}} + \\ \frac{4\sqrt{2}\pi^3\epsilon a^{3/2}A}{105m^2r_H} \frac{T_{bh}^3}{(M^2 - Q^2)^{7/4}} \gg S_r. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

thus conserving the *GSL*.

3.2. Upper bound on S/E

We have from Eqs. (38, 40)

$$\frac{S}{E} = \frac{(2 - \xi)}{(3 - \xi)} C(l) [4(M^2 - Q^2)]^{1/4} \frac{\sqrt{a}}{r_H}. \quad (47)$$

10 *P. I. Kuriakose, V. C. Kuriakose*

RN Black hole temperature is given as

$$T_{bh} = \frac{\sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}}{2\pi r_H^2}. \quad (48)$$

From Eq. (47)

$$\frac{3 - \xi}{2 - \xi} = \frac{E}{S} C(l) T_{bh} \frac{4\pi\sqrt{a}r_H}{\sqrt{2}(M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}}. \quad (49)$$

Near the horizon, $C(l \rightarrow 0) = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_{bh}}$. Eq. (49) is modified with the situation $\frac{3 - \xi}{2 - \xi} > 1$, as

$$1 < \frac{E}{S} \frac{4}{3} \frac{4\pi\sqrt{a}r_H}{\sqrt{2}(M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}} \\ \frac{S}{E} < \frac{4}{3} \frac{4\pi\sqrt{a}r_H}{\sqrt{2}(M^2 - Q^2)^{1/4}}. \quad (50)$$

Dimensionally, this formula is of the Bekenstein form^{13,14}.

4. Conclusion

Generalized second law must be valid in all situations. When evaluating the *GSL*, if the asymptotic state equation of radiation is considered, the *GSL* will be violated. Since the Hawking radiation is not fully thermal, the gedankenexperiment could be conducted close to the horizon, since the buoyancy force of Hawking radiation is finite at the horizon. The gravity is so strong near the horizon that the state equations of radiation must have been affected by it. Here we have obtained the state equation of radiation near the horizon of a Reissner- Nordström black hole and found that the *GSL* is conserved. In the asymptotic limit, the equations converge to the usual expressions αT_r^4 and $\frac{4}{3}\alpha T_r^3$. The parameter $C(l)$ connecting the entropy and energy density is $\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_r}$ in the asymptotic limit and $\frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{T_{bh}}$ near the horizon.

In the above calculation, the upper bound on S/E is analogous to the one given by Bekenstein. The upper bound on S/E is a necessary condition to have the conservation of *GSL*. The above procedure has a slight disadvantage that the Eq. (34), doesn't give the exact value of ρ and s on the horizon because of the coordinate pathology. It can be obtained only by removing the geometric singularity at the horizon and will be initiated somewhere else.

5. acknowledgments

V.C.K wishes to acknowledge UGC, New Delhi, for financial support through Major Research project and Associateship of IUCAA, Pune.

References

1. J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973) 2333.

2. J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **9** (1974) 3292.
3. R. V. Bunfy, S. D. H. Hsu, *arxiv :hep-th/0510021*.
4. L. Susskind and J. Uglum, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 2700.
5. T. M. Fiola, J. Preskill, A. Strominger and S. P. Trivedi, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 3987.
6. T. Jacobson, *arxiv: gr-qc/9404039*.
7. S. N. Solodukhin, *Phys. rev. Lett* **97** (2006) 201601.
8. M. Cadoni, *Phys. Lett. B* **653** (2007) 434.
9. W. G. Unruh and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 942,
10. W. G. Unruh and R. M. Wald, *Phys. rev. D* **27** (1983) 2271.
11. W. G. Unruh, *Phys. Rev. D* **14** (1976) 870.
12. S. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys* **43** (1975) 199.
13. J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **23** (1981) 787.
14. J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. rev. D* **27** (1983) 2262.
15. D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **25** (1982) 1499.
16. V. P. Frolov and A. I. Zelnikov, *Phys. rev. D* **35** (1987) 3031.
17. A. R. Steif, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) R 585.
18. N. D. Birrell, P. C. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, London.
19. S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 084013.
20. L. Li-Xin, L. Liao, *Phys. Rev. D* **46** (1992) 3296.
21. R. C. Tolman, *Relativity, Thermodynamics, and Cosmology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1934.
22. B. E. Taylor, W. A. Hiscock and P. R. Anderson, *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 084021 (2000).
23. W. Ding-Xiong, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 7385.